competent authority which included recovery or withholding of amount, suspension of supplies/suppliers, blacklisting/deregistration etc.

(c) and (d) Supplies from some suppliers were suspended on account of reasons other than charging higher rates, such as supply of inferior quality products, providing false address, threatening the Kendriya Bhandar employees and trying to coerce them to take decision in suppliers favour, pasting a sticker of different price over the existing sticker price, etc.

(e) and (f) In view of above, the question of stopping disbursement of pension to the officers Kendriya Bhandar does not arise.

Refusal of Information under RTI

3115. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the number of cases during the current year wherein the Central Information Commission imposed penalty on concerned Government officers for not telling applicants that the information sought by them under the Right to Information Law was not available;

(b) whether the Commission has expressed its concern and took a serious view that certain Government Departments had refused to implement its recommendations on correcting misleading information on their website; and

(c) if so, the reaction of Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL,PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI): (a) The Central Information Commission has not imposed penalty on any Government Officer during the current year upto 25.4.2007 for not informing the applicant about non-availability of information.

(b) and (c) Government gives due consideration to the recommendations made by the Commission before taking a view on them.

Re-employment of IAS and the IPS Officers

3116. MS. MABEL REBELLO: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of retired IAS officers re-employed in Government;

(b) the number out of them who are advisers to the Ministers;

(c) their names with their present posting;

(d) whether they hold other postings as well besides being advisers; and

(e) if so, the details of postings alongwith their designation, and the age of the concerned officers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI): (a) to (e) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Cases Pending with CBI

3117. SHRI MAHENDRA MOHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in case filed nearly three decades ago, the CBI could examine only two witnesses till 23 November, 2006 despite being allotted 57 dates and in March 2007, in the absence of any prima facie evidence compelled CBI court to discharge the accused persons in Kolkata;

(b) if so, the reasons for undue delay on the part of CBI;

(c) how many cases are pending with CBI for investigation and since when;

(d) whether Government would fix time limit for the investigation; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI): (a) and (b) The case in RC. 11(A)/74/ACB Kolkata against one Bill Clerk of State Bank of India, Stand Road Branch, Kolkata and two private persons has been discharged by the Ld. 3rd Spl. Judge, Bankshall, Kolkata on 06.03.2007. The aforesaid case had been charge sheeted on 15.12.1978. The public servant involved in the above said case, subsequently died and the charge against him abated on 22.4.2003. The case went through